

About the Journal, Ethics and Policies

About the Journal

Title: Nuova Antologia Militare

Short title: NAM

Registration number: 6/2020, Tribunale di Roma

e-ISSN: 2704-9795

website: <http://www.nam-sism.org/>

principal contact: virgilio.ilari@gmail.com

support contact: info@tabedizioni.it

Nuova Antologia Militare has an e-ISSN number, each issue has a different ISBN number, and a DOI is assigned to each paper.

The Journal is indexed in the world largest open-access database: DOAJ, the Directory of Open Access Journals. The official website on DOAJ is <https://doaj.org/toc/2704-9795>.

Read the welcome letter by Virgilio Ilari and Giovanni Brizzi, journal directors [[eng](#), [ita](#)].

Aims & Scope

The Open Access Journal *Nuova Antologia Militare. Interdisciplinary Journal of the Italian Society of Military History (NAM)*, hosts scientific articles in Italian, English, French or Spanish of international standard, based on original research and critical interpretations of international military history, global, diachronic and interdisciplinary, with particular attention to the historical critique of military sciences and strategic studies, geopolitics and intelligence.

Publication Frequency

The Journal is an annual open access scientific periodical. Each number is composed of four monographic issues.

Open Access (CC BY-NC) & Repository Policy

Nuova Antologia Militare is an Open Access magazine, member DOAJ (Directory Open Access Journals).

The Journal provides immediate open access to its content on the principle that making research freely available to the public supports a greater global exchange of knowledge.

All content is freely available without charge to the user or his/her institution.

Authors are free to deposit a copy of their paper, both the Accepted Version (Author Accepted Manuscript) and the Published Version (Version of Record) in an institutional or other repository of their choice. Authors also receive a link to the pdf of their article, which they are encouraged to disseminate as widely as possible.

Authors hold the copyright of their own articles.

For the Journal: © Società Italiana di Storia Militare.

APC information

The Journal does not levy charges for processing of articles, nor for editing them or publishing them in open access format [article processing charges (APCs)]

Advertising

The Journal does not include any kind of advertising.

Ethic Statements & Policies

Publication Ethics

The publication of an article in the peer-reviewed and open access Journal Nuova Antologia Militare requires to agree upon standards of expected ethical behavior for all parties involved in the act of publishing: the author, the Board of Directors, the Scientific Council, the War Studies Advisory Board, the peer reviewer and the publisher.

Ethic statements of Nuova Antologia Militare (NAM) are based on [COPE's Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors](#).

Publication Decisions

The Board of Directors of Nuova Antologia Militare is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the Journal should be published. The Board of Directors may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Fair Play

The Board of Directors at any time evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality and Data Retention

The Board of Directors and the members of the Scientific Council and of the War Studies Advisory Board undertake not to disclose information on the articles proposed to other persons besides the author, the referees and the publisher. Both the editorial staff and the publisher keep the results of the trial reports of the essays, admitted or not, for publication in a confidential database.

Conflict of Interest and Transparency

The Board of Directors and the members of the Scientific Council and of the War Studies advisory committee undertake not to use in their own research the contents of an article proposed for publication without the written consent of the author.

Identification and Dealing with Allegations of Research Misconduct

The Board of Directors and the publisher will take reasonable steps to identify and prevent the publication of papers where research misconduct has occurred, including plagiarism, citation manipulation and data falsification/fabrication among others. The journal follows COPE's guidelines (<http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines>) in dealing with allegations.

Peer Review Process

The proposed articles will be subjected to double blind peer-review. Referees are chosen by the Board of Directors according to the specific competence required by the article, and at least one of them must be external to the Scientific Council.

In the event of a conflicting judgment the article will be submitted to a third reviewer external to the Scientific Council. If the approved contributions deal with topics that require particular techno-military skills, they can also be submitted to the opinion of specialists indicated by the War Studies Advisory Board.

The same procedure applies for the articles proposed by the Board of Directors, by the Scientific Council and by the War Studies Advisory Committee. The editorials of the scientific direction, the reviews and possible re-editions of texts are not refereed.

Decisions about acceptance, rejection or change about the pieces of work received will be announced to their authors within 180 days. In case the acceptance of a study, essay or research depends on the amendments suggested by the external reviewers, its author will be required to improve the original text. Once changes are introduced, the amended article will be submitted again to be evaluated by the Scientific Council.

Duties of Reviewers

Contribution to Editorial Decisions

Peer review assists the Board of Directors in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author, may also assist the author in improving the paper.

Promptness

Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the Board of Directors.

Confidentiality

Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the Board of Directors.

Standards of Objectivity

Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the Scientific director's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

Disclosure and Conflict of Interest

Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

Duties of Authors

Reporting Standards

Authors of reports of original research should present an accurate account of the work performed as well as an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Data Access and Retention

If applicable, authors are asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Originality and Plagiarism

The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted.

Multiple, Redundant or Concurrent Publication

An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

Acknowledgement of Sources

Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work.

Authorship of the Paper

Authorship should be limited to those who have made a significant contribution to the conception, design, execution, or interpretation of the reported study. All those who have made significant contributions should be listed as co-authors.

Where there are others who have participated in certain substantive aspects of the research project, they should be acknowledged or listed as contributors.

The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate co-authors and no inappropriate co-authors are included on the paper, and that all co-authors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

Disclosure and Conflicts of Interest

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Fundamental errors in published works

When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author's obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper.