Cardiovascular prevention in the twenties of the 21st century

Lessons learned and future directions

by Diego Vanuzzo, Simona Giampaoli*

Abstract

The bases of cardiovascular prevention are rooted in cardiovascular epidemiology and evidence-based medicine since 1961, when investigators in the Framingham Heart Study found that healthy subjects with "risk factors" such as hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and with smoking habit had a greater probability to suffer from coronary heart disease. Subsequently other risk factors were identified, e.g. diabetes, and it was demonstrated that they predicted also other atherosclerotic diseases, e.g. stroke and peripheral artery disease. There was evidence that lifestyles were responsible for high levels of these risk factors and many other concepts have evolved in cardiovascular prevention, from the notion of global risk, to the Rose's proposal of the two complementary approaches of "population strategy" and of "high risk" individual strategy, and to the formulation of "primordial prevention" since pregnancy and childhood to prevent the development of risk factors. Many studies were performed in order to evaluate the effects of risk reduction, and the major lesson learned about cardiovascular prevention demonstrate that primary prevention driven by secular trends in lifestyle and clinical risk factors, played a major role in the cardiovascular mortality decline in many populations. Future directions in this field include genetic research and development of polygenic scores which can be used as an additional tool to support therapeutic decisions in people at intermediate risk, research on biomarkers, in particular of inflammation, on imaging both ultrasonographic and radiological and on their integration, favoured by computer applications defined "machine learning". Finally, metabolic phenotypes and other artificial intelligence approaches are being studied to obtain "precision prevention".

66 T is better to be healthy than ill or dead. That is the beginning and the end of the only real argument for preventive medicine. It is sufficient". This concise and clear statement of Geoffrey Rose, one of the pioneers of cardiovascular

epidemiology and prevention in the last part of the 20th century may seem somewhat ironical during the Covid-19 pandemic, when an effective vaccination campaign² is counteracted by a significant vaccine hesitancy³. But it underlines the need of an effective prevention in a setting which was defined the "perfect storm of rising chronic diseases and public health failures fuelling Covid-19 pandemic"³ by a Lancet press release illustrating the last reports of the Global Burden of Disease Study (GBD)^{4,5} updated to 2019. According

* Diego Vanuzzo: Former head of the Cardiovascular Prevention Center, Udine. Simona Giampaoli: Former Director of the Cardiovascular, Endocrine-Metabolic and Aging Diseases Department, Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Rome.



Fig. 1. Age-standardised DALY rates (per 100000) by location, both sexes combined, 2019 [derived from http://www. healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019/cardiovascular-diseases-level-2-cause].

to those GBD reports, leading causes of DALYs or health loss globally for both sexes combined, all ages, in 2019: were, after the first, neonatal disorders, driven by the DALY definition, Ischaemic heart disease (2nd) and Stroke (3rd). Risks associated with the highest number of deaths worldwide, in 2019 were:

- High systolic blood pressure (10.8 million deaths);
- Tobacco (8.71 million deaths);
- Dietary risks (e.g., low fruit, high salt) (7.94 million deaths);
- Air pollution (6.67 million deaths);
- High fasting plasma glucose (6.50 million deaths);
- High body-mass index (5.02 million deaths);

- High LDL cholesterol (4.40 million deaths);
- Kidney dysfunction (3.16 million deaths);
- Child and maternal malnutrition (2.94 million deaths);
- Alcohol use (2.44 million deaths).

All those facts underline the need of an effective cardiovascular prevention all over the world, together with the efforts to curb the Covid-19 pandemic and to counteract the climate change. Certainly, prevention and surveillance policies should adapt to the different impact of cardiovascular diseases and their risk factors in the various countries (Figure 1-2)^{5,6}.

In this paper we will consider the results achieved and the possible future scenarios of cardiovascular prevention, which may be defined, adapting John Last's words⁷, as a coordinated set of actions, at community and individual level, aimed at eradicating, eliminating or minimizing the impact of cardiovascular diseases (CVD) and their related disability.

1. Results achieved by cardiovascular prevention

The bases of cardiovascular prevention are rooted in cardiovascular epidemiology and evidence-based medicine since 1961, when investigators in the Framingham Heart Study had shown that overtly healthy subjects with



HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE

HIGH LDL CHOLESTEROL



TOBACCO



SEV = summary exposure values, a measure of exposure to a risk factor normalized on a scale of 0 to 100 to make comparisons possible between dichotomous, polytomous, and continuous risks by country or territory, and selected subnational locations.

Fig. 2. Age-standardised all-cause SEV by location, both sexes combined, 2019 [derived from http://www.healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019].

hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and with smoking habit were at higher risk of developing an acute myocardial infarction⁸; they coined the term 'coronary risk factors'9. There is evidence that lifestyles are responsible of levels of the risk factors^{10,11}. In the following years many other concepts have evolved in CVD prevention, from the predictive value of coronary risk factors for other atherosclerotic diseases, like stroke and peripheral artery disease¹² so they are termed "cardiovascular risk factors", and from the notion of global CVD risk, to the Rose's proposal^{1,13} of the two complementary approaches of "population strategy" (i.e. shifting adverse population risk distribution toward lower levels) and of "high risk" individual strategy, and to the Tom Strasser's formulation of "primordial prevention" since pregnancy and childhood to prevent the development of risk factors¹⁴. More recently the attention was paid to the possible positive long-term consequences of favourable risk profile and to the issue of maintaining a low cardiovascular risk at all ages proposed by Jeremiah Stamler et al.15

1.1. Global CVD Risk Estimation and the low-risk approach The global absolute CVD risk represents the likelihood of developing the disease over the following years, provided that the value of several risk factors is known. It is estimated through a risk equation using base-line risk factors and morbidity and mortality data of general population free of CVD at baseline and followed up in longitudinal studies. The risk equation includes: mean risk factors values of the population, risk coefficients, which attribute an etiological weight to single factors¹⁶, and survival probability. These elements change according to different populations, particularly when different cultures or generational cohorts are compared. The identification of CVD risk has become one of the main targets of primary prevention and the first step to reduce modifiable risk factors, from lifestyle changes to pharmacological treatments. Many tools were developed over time, including also the analysis of competing risks; the most recent ones are the SCORE217 and SCORE2-OP (older persons)¹⁸ in Europe, and the Pooled Cohort risk equations in the US, developed

by a working group as part of the ACC/AHA 2013 Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk¹⁹ in the U.S. In Italy the Progetto CUORE^{20,21} allowed the development of a risk score and risk charts for men and women separately, considering the first major coronary or cerebrovascular event as the endpoint²¹ including age, systolic blood pressure, total cholesterol, HDL-cholesterol, smoking habit, diabetes, and hypertension treatment. The Progetto CUORE also allowed the researchers to evaluate the fate of the so-called favourable risk profile or "low risk" individuals^{22,23}. This definition included persons with all the following characteristics: total cholesterol < 5.17 mmol/l (< 200 mg/dl), systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≤ 120mmHg, diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≤ 80 mmHg, no antihypertensive medication, body mass index $(BMI) < 25.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$, no diabetes, no smoking; they were compared with individuals at "unfavourable but not highrisk" and at "high risk" - see the original articles^{22,23} for definitions. Low risk individuals were only 3% at baseline, and they had virtually no coronary heart disease (CHD) and cerebrovascular diseases in the

following ten years. The rates for unfavourable but not highrisk individuals (17% of the CUORE cohort) and high risk individuals (80%) were higher and with a graded increase to one, two and three or more risk factors.

1.2. Epidemiological evidence of cardiovascular prevention effectiveness

While randomized double blind clinical trials form the basis of treatment evidence in high risk individuals, intervention programs at community level are much more difficult to perform and often with scarce results, as also recent experiences demonstrate^{24,25}, because of the influence of strong secular trends. Therefore it is better to rely on large standardized observational studies to evaluate prevention effectiveness. This is the case of the MONICA Study^{26,29}, more consistent than other modelling studies. According to MONICA data, regarding 36 populations in 21 countries of four continents, totalling 15 million people, in men CHD mortality rates decreased in 25 populations and increased in 11 populations; in women CHD mortality rates decreased in 22 populations and increased in

13 populations. In percentage terms, decrease in mortality rates in the MONICA data was less than that recorded in official mortality rates based on death certificate data. The MONICA Project demonstrated the substantial contribution of both decreased incidence and increased survival as well as the changes in the prevalence of risk factors to the declining trend of mortality: one third of the decline in mortality was explained by changes in case fatality rates related to advancements in coronary care, two thirds by declining incidence in coronary events as partly explained by the reduction of classical risk factors.

In conclusion the lesson learned about CVD prevention demonstrate, in the continuum from primordial prevention to secondary prevention and rehabilitation, that primary CVD prevention driven by secular trends in lifestyle and clinical risk factors, played a major role in the CVD mortality decline in many populations, but its potential is much wider, aiming at reducing also the non-fatal CVD cases, other non-communicable diseases, premature and late disability, ensuring therefore a healthy aging to the majority of people.

2. Future directions in cardiovascular prevention

The results of the GBD study^{4,5} regarding 2019 indicate that there has been a substantial slowdown in the rate of decline of cardiovascular-disease (CVD) mortality in many high income countries in recent years: this was most apparent at ages 35-74 years, where CVD-mortality rates have increased in the USA (males and females) and Canada (females); high and increasing obesity, among other risk factors, jeopardize further CVD-mortality declines in many countries. Moreover the Covid-19 pandemic has a significant death toll worldwide²⁹ and increases the burden of CVD³⁰. Therefore there is a real need for innovative ways to create new approaches to CVD prevention, both in the realm of individual precision medicine and in the one of community intervention.

2.1. Precision CVD prevention from the polygenic scores to biomarkers, imaging techniques and artificial intelligence applications
A familial pattern in the risk of CHD was found in large studies involving twins and prospective cohorts^{31,32}. Since 2007,

genome-wide association analyses have identified more than 50 independent loci associated with the risk of CHD³³. These risk alleles, when aggregated into a polygenic risk score, are predictive of incident coronary events and provide a continuous and quantitative measure of genetic susceptibility33, which can identify subjects with a risk equivalent to individuals with familial hypercholesterolemia, but without an elevated LDL cholesterol³⁴. A recent study confirmed that people with intermediate LDL levels (e.g. between 130 and 160 mg/dL), but with a high polygenic score, have the same risk as those with severe hypercholesterolemia³⁵. The polygenic score can be used as an additional tool to support therapeutic decisions in people at intermediate risk, often difficult to reclassify with the other tools available³⁶. Furthermore, statins and PCSK9 inhibitors were more effective in people with high polygenic scores, with higher benefits^{37, 38}.

Other research on coronary risk stratification or reclassification has focused, in addition to genetics and its scores, on biomarkers, in particular of inflammation³⁹, on imaging both ultrasonographic^{40, 41} and radiological⁴² and on their integration⁴³, favoured by computer applications defined "machine learning"⁴⁴ an approach based on computer systems capable of learning and adapting without following explicit instructions, using algorithms and statistical models to analyse and drawing inferences from patterns in the data. Finally, "metabotypes" metabolic phenotypes are being studied to obtain "precision prevention", especially from the food point of view⁴⁵.

These scenarios are certainly interesting but two problems immediately emerge:

- once the best tools for "precision prevention" have been identified, their cost and their real applicability at the population level, in particular in primary prevention, must be assessed⁴⁶;
- considering the population, the community context emerges also for the future, being an essential and non-reducible subject of prevention, as also the Covid-19 pandemic is demonstrating.

2.2. Community cardiovascular prevention in an epochal change Individuals live in communi-

ties influencing their behavioural choices and here much remains to be done despite the evidence47 and the recent recommendations, both American⁴⁸ and European^{49,50}. The issue is significant because even if genetic risk was evaluated in the Khera study33, among participants at high genetic risk, a favourable lifestyle was associated with a nearly 50% lower relative risk of coronary artery disease than was an unfavourable lifestyle. However, although there is evidence that lifestyle positive modifications can persist over time⁵¹, the majority of these intervention studies do not confirm this pattern if begun after childhood^{24,25} hence there is a clear need for antenatal, perinatal and primordial prevention⁵². Another possibility to find new ways of intervention is to investigate in depth into positive "natural experiments"53 like the one occurred in Poland during the political transition⁵⁴. Community cardiovascular prevention needs to be deeply innovated, integrating epidemiology, psychology, sociology, marketing science, statistics, informatics to find new ways to help communities to adopt a healthy lifestyles at every age, including pregnancy.

Notes

1. Rose G., *Rose's Strategy of Preventive Medicine*, with commentary by Kay-Tee Khaw and Michael Marmot, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2008, p. 38.

2. Dagan N., Barda N., Kepten E. (et al.), BNT162b2 mRNA Covid-19 Vaccine in a Nationwide Mass Vaccination Setting, N Engl J Med, 2021;1412-1423.

3. Salomoni M.G., Di Valerio Z., Gabrielli E. (et al.), Hesitant or Not Hesitant? A Systematic Review on Global Covid-19 Vaccine Acceptance in Different Populations, Vaccines (Basel) 2021 Aug; 9(8): 873. Published online 2021 Aug 6. DOI: 10.3390/vaccines9080873.

4. The Lancet, Latest global disease estimates reveal perfect storm of rising chronic diseases and public health failures fuelling Covid-19 pandemic [available at http://www.healthdata. org/news-release/lancet-latest-global-disease-estimates-reveal-perfect-storm-rising-chronic-diseases-and; latest access 24/10/21].

5. GBD 2019 Diseases and Injuries Collaborators, *Global* burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, Lancet 2020; 396: 1204-22 [see also http:// www.healthdata.org/results/gbd_summaries/2019/cardiovascular-diseases-level-2-cause; latest access 20/11/21].

6. GBD 2019 Risk Factors Collaborators, *Global burden* of 87 risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019, Lancet 2020; 396: 1223-49 [see also http://www.healthdata. org/results/gbd_summaries/2019; latest access 20/11/21].

7. Last J.M. (ed. by), *A Dictionary of Epidemiology*, 4th edition, Oxford University Press, New York 2001.

8. Kannel W.B., Dawber T.R., Kagan A., Revotskie N., Stokes J. 3rd, Factors of risk in the development of coronary heart disease—six-year follow-up experience, The Framingham Study, Ann Int Med 1961;55:33-50.

9. Braunwald E., *The rise of cardiovascular medicine*, Eur Heart J, 2012;33:838-845.

10. Keys A., Aravanis C., Blackburn H. (et al.), Seven Countries. A Multivariate Analysis of Death and Coronary Heart Disease, A Commonwealth Fund Book, England, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachussets and London 1980.

11. Intersalt Cooperative Research Group, Intersalt: an international study of electrolyte excretion and blood pressure. Results for 24 hour urinary sodium and potassium excretion, BMJ 1988;297:319-328.

12. Kannel W.B., An overview of the risk factors for cardiovascular disease, in Kaplan N.M., Stamler J., Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease, WB Saunders Company, Philadelphia 1983.

13. Rose G., *Sick individuals and sick populations*, International Journal of Epidemiology, 1985;14:32-38.

14. Strasser T., *Reflections on cardiovascular diseases*, Interdiscip Science Rev, 1978;3:225–230.

15. Stamler J., Neaton J.D., Garside D.B., Daviglus M.L., Current status: six extablished major risk factors – and low risk, in Marmot M., Elliott P. (ed. by), Coronary Heart Disease Epidemiology: From Aetiology to Public Health, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2005, p. 46-54.

16. Pearce N., *Epidemiology in a changing world: variation, causation and ubiquitous risk factors*, Int J Epidemiol, 2011;40:503–512.

17. SCORE2 working group and ESC Cardiovascular risk collaboration, *SCORE2 risk prediction algorithms: new* models to estimate 10-year risk of cardiovascular disease in Europe, Eur Heart J 2021, 42:2439-2454.

18. Id., SCORE2-OP risk prediction algorithms: estimating incident cardiovascular event risk in older persons in four geographical risk regions. Eur Heart J 2021; 42: 2455-2467.

19. Goff D.C. Jr, Lloyd-Jones D.M., Bennett G. (et al.), 2013 ACC/AHA Guideline on the Assessment of Cardiovascular Risk: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, JACC, 2014, 63: 2935–2959.

20. Ferrario M., Chiodini P., Chambless L.E., Cesana G., Vanuzzo D., Panico S., Sega R., Pilotto L., Palmieri L., Giampaoli S., *Prediction of coronary events in a low incidence population: assessing accuracy of the CUORE Cohort Study prediction equation*, Int J Epidemiol, 2005;34:413-421.

21. Giampaoli S., Palmieri L., Donfrancesco C., Panico S., Vanuzzo D., Pilotto L., Ferrario M., Cesana G., Mattiello A., *On behalf of The CUORE Project Research Group. Cardiovascular risk assessment in Italy: the CUORE Project risk score and risk chart*, Ital J P Health, 2007; 4:102-109.

22. Palmieri L., Donfrancesco C., Giampaoli S., Trojani M., Panico S., Vanuzzo D., Pilotto L., Cesana G., Ferrario M., Chiodini P., Sega R., Stamler J., *Favorable cardiovascular risk profile and 10-year coronary heart disease incidence in women and men: results from the Progetto CUORE*, Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil, 2006;13:562-570.

23. Giampaoli S., Palmieri L., Panico S., Vanuzzo D., Ferrario M., Chiodini P., Pilotto L., Donfrancesco C., Cesana G., Sega R., Stamler J., *Favorable cardiovascular risk profile (low risk) and 10-year stroke incidence in women and men: findings from 12 Italian population samples*, Am J Epidemiol, 2006;163:893-902. 24. Sidebottom A.C., Miedema M.D., Benson G. (et al.), The impact of a population-based prevention program on cardiovascular events: Findings from the heart of new Ulm project, Am H J, 2021, 239:38-51.

25. Fernández-Alvira J.M., Fernández-Jiménez R., De Miguel M. (et al.), The challenge of sustainability: Long-term results from the Fifty-Fifty peer group-based intervention in cardiovascular risk factors, Am H J, 2021, 240:81-88.

26. Kuulasmaa K., Tunstall-Pedoe H., Dobson A., Fortmann S., Sans S., Tolonen H., Evans A., Ferrario M., Tuomilehto J., *Estimation of contribution of changes in classic risk factors to trends in coronary-event rates across the WHO MONICA Project populations*, Lancet, 2000;355:675-687.

27. Tunstall-Pedoe H., Vanuzzo D., Hobbs M., Mahonen M., Cepaitis Z., Kuulasmaa K. (*et al.*), *Estimation* of contribution of changes in coronary care to improving survival, event rates, and coronary heart disease mortality across the WHO MONICA Project populations, Lancet, 2000;355(9205):688-700.

28. Tunstall-Pedoe H., Kuulasmaa K., Mahonen M., Tolonen H., Ruokokoski E., Amouyel P., *Contribution of trends in survival and coronary-event rates to changes in coronary heart disease mortality: 10-year results from 37 WHO MONICA project populations. Monitoring trends and determinants in cardiovascular disease*, Lancet, 1999;353(9164):1547-1557.

29. Karlinsky A., Kobak D., *Tracking excess mortality across countries during the Covid-19 pandemic with the World Mortality Dataset*, eLife, 2021;10:e69336 DOI: 10.7554/ eLife.69336.

30. Hessamia A., Shamshiriande A., Heydaria K. (et al.), Cardiovascular diseases burden in Covid-19: Systematic review and meta-analysis, Am J Emergency Medicine, 2021, 382-391.

31. Marenberg M.E., Risch N., Berkman L.F., Floderus B., de Faire U., *Genetic susceptibility to death from coronary heart disease in a study of twins*, N Engl J Med, 1994, 330:1041-1046.

32. Lloyd-Jones D.M., Nam B.H., D'Agostino R.B. Sr (et al.), Parental cardiovascular disease as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in middle-aged adults: a prospective study of parents and offspring, JAMA, 2004, 291:2204-2211.

33. Khera A.M., Emdin C.A., D. Phil., Drake I. (et al.), Genetic risk, adherence to a healthy lifestyle, and coronary disease, N Engl J Med, 2016;375:2349-2358.

34. Khera A.V., Chaffin M., Aragam K.G. (et al.), Genome-wide polygenic scores for common diseases identify individuals with risk equivalent to monogenic mutations, Nature genetics, 2018, 50:1219-1224. 35. Bolli A., Di Domenico P., Pastorino R., Busby G.B., Bottà G., *Risk of coronary artery disease conferred by low-density lipoprotein cholesterol depends on polygenic background*, Circulation, 2021, 143: 1452-1454.

36. Aragam K.G., Dobbyn A., Judy R. (et al.), Limitations of contemporary guidelines for managing patients at high genetic risk of coronary artery disease, JACC, 2020, 75:2769-2780.

37. Damask A., Steg P.G., Schwartz G.G. (et al.), Patients with high genome-wide polygenic risk scores for coronary artery disease may receive greater clinical benefit from alirocumab treatment in the ODYSSEY OUTCOMES trial. 2020, Circulation, 141:624-636.

38. Natarajan P., Young R., Stitziel N.O. (et al.), Polygenic risk score identifies subgroup with higher burden of atherosclerosis and greater relative benefit from statin therapy in the primary prevention setting, Circulation, 2017; 135: 2091-2101.

39. Frary C.E., Blicher M.K., Olesen T.B. (et al.), Circulating biomarkers for long-term cardiovascular risk stratification in apparently healthy individuals from the MONICA 10 cohort, Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2020;27:570-578.

40. Kozakova M., Palombo C., *Imaging subclinical ather*osclerosis in cardiovascular risk stratification, Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2021;28:247-249.

41. Faggiano P., Dasseni N., Gaibazzi N. (et al.), Cardiac calcification as a marker of subclinical atherosclerosis and predictor of cardiovascular events: A review of the evidence, Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2019;26:1191-1204.

42. Antonopoulos A.S., Angelopoulos A., Tsioufis K., Antoniades C., Tousoulis D., *Cardiovascular risk stratification by coronary computed tomography angiography imaging: current state-of-the-art*, Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2021;, zwab067, https://doi.org/10.1093/eurjpc/zwab067

43. Tamarappoo B.K., Lin Ab., Commandeur F. (et al.), Machine learning integration of circulating and imaging biomarkers for explainable patient-specific prediction of cardiac events: a prospective study, Atherosclerosis, 2021, 318: 76-82.

44. Quer G., Arnaout R., Henne M., Arnaout R., *Machine learning and the future of cardiovascular care*, JACC State-of-the-Art Review, JACC 2021, 77: 300-313.

45. Palmnäs M., Brunius C, Shi L. (et al.), Perspective: metabotyping – a potential personalized nutrition strategy for precision prevention of cardiometabolic disease, Advances in Nutrition 2020, 11:524-532.

46. Traversi D., Pulliero A., Izzotti A. (et al.), Precision medicine and public health: new challenges for effective and sustainable health, J. Pers. Med, 2021, 11:135, https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11020135.

47. O'Connor E.A., Evans C.V., Rushkin M.C. (et al.), Behavioral counseling to promote a healthy diet and physical activity for cardiovascular disease prevention in adults with cardiovascular risk factors Updated Evidence Report and Systematic Review for the US Preventive Services Task Force, JAMA. 2020;324:2076-2094.

48. US Preventive Services Task Force, Behavioral counseling interventions to promote a healthy diet and physical activity for cardiovascular disease prevention in adults with cardiovascular risk factors US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement, JAMA, 2020;324(20):2069-2075.

49. Jorgensen T., Capewell S., Prescott E., Allender S., Sans S., Zdrojewski T., De Bacquer D., de Sutter J., Franco O.H., Logstrup S., Volpe M., Malyutina S., Marques-Vidal P., Reiner Z., Tell G.S., Verschuren W.M., Vanuzzo D., *PEP section of EACPR. Population-level changes to promote cardiovascular health.* Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2013;20:409-421. 50. Visseren F.L.J., Mach F., Smulders Y.M. (*et al.*), 2021 ESC Guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice, Eur Heart J, 2021; 42:3227-3337.

51. Journath G., Niklas Hammar N., Vikström M. (et al.), A Swedish primary healthcare prevention programme focusing on promotion of physical activity and a healthy lifestyle reduced cardiovascular events and mortality: 22-year follow-up of 5761 study participants and a reference group, Br J Sports Med, 2020;54:1294-1299.

52. D'Ascenzi F., Sciaccaluga C., Cameli M. (et al.), When should cardiovascular prevention begin? The importance of antenatal, perinatal and primordial prevention, Eur J Prev Cardiol, 2021; 28:361-369.

53. Sekikawa A., Horiuchi B.Y., Edmundowicz D. (et al.), A "natural experiment" in cardiovascular epidemiology in the early 21st century, Heart 2003;89:255-257.

54. Zatonski W.A., McMichael A.J., Powles J.W., Ecological study of reasons for sharp decline in mortality from ischaemic heart disease in Poland since 1991, BMJ, 1998; 316:1047-1051.